



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,289	08/04/2000	Dongyan Wang	SAM1.0068	3259

7590 09/12/2003

Sherhan & Sherman APC
Seventeenth Floor
2029 Century Park East
Los Angeles, CA 90067

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

BURGESS, BARBARA N

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2157

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/633,289	WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Barbara N Burgess	2157	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 August 2000.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed June 20, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-5, 8-22, 25-40, 43-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hara et al. (hereinafter "Hara", 6,560,221 B1).

As per claims 1, 18, 33, 36, 51, Hara discloses a method for providing user interfaces in a first network including first devices interconnected via a communication medium and at least one interface device connecting said first network to at least a second network providing services, the user interfaces for controlling the devices that

are currently connected to the first network and furnishing services of the second network to at least a user, comprising the steps of:

in each of one or more devices in the first network:

- (a) Obtaining information from one or more of said first devices currently connected to the first network, said information including device information (column 5, lines 47-50, 63-65, column 6, lines 10-15, 33-37, column 8, lines 25-36, 47-50); and
- (b) Generating a user interface description including:

- (1) At least one reference associated with the device information of each of said one or more first devices (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29); and

- (2) At least one reference associated with the services provided by the second network (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29).

As per claims 2, 19, 37, Hara discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the first network comprises a 1394 network, and the second network comprises a non-1394 network (column 5, lines 14-20, column 7, 4-8).

As per claims 3, 20, 38, Hara further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the interface device comprises a gateway device (column 4, lines 41-47, column 5, lines 28-35, column 6, lines 45-50).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 4, 21, 39, Hara discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the second network comprises a plurality of interconnected second devices providing one or more services (column 4, lines 49-60).

As per claims 5, 22, 40, Hara discloses the method of claim 4, wherein each of said second devices comprises at least one computer system programmed to provide services (column 4, lines 49-60).

As per claims 8, 25, 43, Hara discloses the method of claim 1, wherein each reference in the user interface description associated to services provided by the second network comprises at least one hyper-text link to service information in the second network (column 9, lines 30-50).

As per claims 9, 26, 44, Hara discloses the method of claim 1 further including the step of:

(a) Displaying a user interface based on said user interface description on a device connected to the first network capable of displaying a user interface, for user control of said first devices and communication with the second network (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29).

As per claims 10, 27, 45, Hara further discloses the method of claim 9, wherein the step of displaying each user interface further includes the steps of:

Using each reference in the corresponding user interface description to access the associated information in each first device (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29);

Using each reference associated with services provided by the second network to access corresponding service information (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29);

Generating the user interface including:

(1) Information corresponding to each first device using the accessed information in each first device, and (2) service information; and displaying the user interface on said device capable of displaying a user interface (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29).

As per claims 11, 34, 52, Hara discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of generating a user interface description further comprises the steps of:

Associating a hyper-text link with the device information of one or more of said first devices, and associating at least a hyper-text link with the service information provided by the second network (column 9, lines 30-50).

As per claims 12, 35, 53, Hara discloses the method of claim 1, wherein: (1) the device information in each device in the first network includes a user interface description for user interaction with that device, and (2) the service information in the second network

Art Unit: 2157

includes at least a user interface description for user interaction with a service (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29).

As per claims 13, 28, 46, Hara discloses the method of claim 1, wherein each reference associated with services provided by the second network comprises at least one hypertext link to service information in the second network, wherein the service information comprises at least identification information representing a service (column 9, lines 30-50).

As per claims 14, 29, 47, Hara discloses the method of claim 13, wherein the identification information comprises a logo information file including a link to a logo graphic representing the service (column 9, lines 30-50).

As per claims 15, 30, 48, Hara further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the second network includes at least a first portal for providing services, and a reference associated with services provided by the second network comprises at least one hypertext link to said first portal, wherein the first portal includes service information comprising at least identification information representing said services provided by the first portal (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29, column 9, lines 30-50).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 16, 31, 49, Hara discloses the method of claim 15, wherein the said identification information in the first portal further comprises a hyper-link to service information provided by a second portal in the second network (column 9, lines 30-50).

As per claims 17, 32, 50, Hara the method of claim 16, wherein:

The second network comprises a plurality of interconnected computer systems programmed to provide services (column 4, lines 49-60);

The first portal comprises one or more of said computer systems providing services of the first portal (column 5, lines 47-50, 63-65, column 6, lines 10-15, 33-37, column 8, lines 25-36, 47-50); and

The second portal comprises one or more of said computer systems providing services of the second portal (column 5, lines 47-50, 63-65, column 6, lines 10-15, 33-37, column 8, lines 25-36, 47-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2157

7. Claims 6-7, 23-24, 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara et al. (hereinafter "Hara", 6,560,221 B1) in view of Rosenberg et al. (hereinafter "Rosenberg", 6,101,530).

As per claims 6, 23, 41, Hara does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 4, wherein:

At least one of said second devices providing services comprises one or more web servers providing services. However, the use and advantages for using web servers is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by Rosenberg (column 3, lines 25-30, column 5, lines 1-3, 38-41, 44-46, Abstract).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate a web server in Hara's method allowing a web page to be sent to the requesting client.

As per claims 7, 24, 42, Hara does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 6, wherein a service provided by at least one of the devices connected to the second network comprises a web site service. In an analogous art, Rosenberg discloses the web server sending web pages (websites) to the client (column 3, lines 25-30, column 5, lines 1-3, 38-41, 44-46, Abstract).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate a device connected to the

Art Unit: 2157

second network comprising a web site service in Hara's method allowing a web page to be sent to the requesting client.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N Burgess whose telephone number is (703) 305-3366. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Barbara N Burgess

Examiner

Art Unit 2157



ARIO ETIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100